

Previous references.

1894-95

191

(Sep. Revue)

File No. 35-(94-95)

Serial Nos. 1-12.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-12

Major Part B. for February 96.

SUBJECT

Permission in favour of B.
Srinivas Rao, of Chellakere taluk
for 93-94

1. From the Census Commr
D. no 3082, S. no 3069 dt 17.1.95
M 2475 291

Forwards copy of Communication
from the D. C. Chitaldroog together
with the Permission Statement
for Pns 1508-2-1 due by defaulting today.
2. To the Census Commr
no 13529 dt 2.3.95
M 1957 The guests to explain
certain questions see the above.

G. R. S. 58

3 From, the Encease Commune
D no 12582 L no 4036 Df 25.3.95
M3389 377

Requests that the file of annual papers may be returned to enable the D.C. Chitaldroog to submit the required explanation.

4 To the Encease Commune
no 16698 Df 5.4.95.
M2344 Returns the above requested papers.

5 From, the Encease Commune
D no 16677 L no 5156 Df 30.5.95
M4619 470

Submits copy of communication together with its enclosures from the D.C. Chitaldroog explaining the points referred.

6 To the Encease Commune
The Comptroller.

No 4317-18 Df 4 11 95
En128

Sanctions the remission with interest upto date.

7. From, the Comptroller
D. No 4515 & No 241-2 D/13.12.95
En 236 R.E.8

Forwards copy of his docket
No 241-2 D/13.12.95 addressed to
the ^{Pr. E. 45} En Commee for information

8. From, the Enceise Commee
D. No 4801 & No 2678 D/13.12.95
En 270 254

Requests that the ~~un~~necessary
records - submitted with
certain references, may be
returned.

9. To, the Enceise Commee
No 5795 D/23.12.95
En 186 Forwards the
records connected with the
case for reference & settlement
mission with an explanation
for the difference noticed by
the Comptroller.

10. To, the Enceise Commee
No 6286 D/13.1.96
En 196 States that the
unnecessary file has already
returned.

11. From the Excise Commr.
D. No. 5304 of No. 3079 of 3-2-36.
En 310 296

See below explanation on
the difference of Rs 60-7-6.

12. From the Comptroller,
D. No. 5388 of No. 331-32 of 6-2-36.
En 316 R. E. 13

Forwarded copy of this docket
No. 331-32 addressed to the
R. E. 60.
Excise Commr.

Indesed.
W. B. Thammanna

~~Corrected~~
H

Excise

OFFICE NOTE.

Net arrears of Toddy Rev. of
Rs 1508-2-1, Mannivala and
8 other shops, Chellakere Taluk,
Chitaldroog Dist^o. Defaulter -
Srinivasa Row (1893-94 8 15
months).

from ²⁹⁶ the General Comr in Mysore.

(1) Sale effected by Dy Comr on 14th Feby/93 on failure of his Asst Comr to secure proper value on 9th Feby/93.

(3). Deposit lodged - one month's whist
viz Rs 276-4-0.

(5) The Amount Collected is equal
to 2 months & 19 days (nearly) which
Rs 1720-1-2

(6) The arrears due & uncollected on aggregate 6 months & 14 days Khists —
— explained to Mr. Rs 1,792-14-7

It is most unsatisfactory
that the Amalgar of the
Talley Shd. have dealt with
the defaults in such a hasty
manner in defiance of clear
distinct rules.

The Amaldar evidently
made little endeavor
to collect the British revenue
^{either} from the Farmer or his
Shikmidars of the district
"Bhandari".

3. His explanation shd.
also be submitted
to H. Burr.

113155
The long delay of 2 M. in
submitting the Receipts in
Stephen's int. St. also be

7) Add loss by resale on 3rd June 1993
at 18-10 monthly for 5 months
& 28 days bring at 258-3-0,
instead of at Rs 276-4-0:—

Balance — 1792-14-7

Rs 106-10-0 ————— 106-10-0
8) Total dues — Rs 1899-8-7 —————→ 1899-8-7

9) Assets:—

(A) Deposit — 276-4-0
(B) Property sold 1-10-6
(C) Due to defaulters
by vendors } 113-8-0
(D) Interest monthly
on arrears — } ————
391-6-6

Rs 391-6-6 ————— 391-6-6

Net outlandings irre-
coverable & recommended
for remission. } 1508-2-1 —————→ 1508-2-1

11.—The following contain briefly
the short comings in the case
and the results consequent
thereon.

1) The deposit paid was one
month's whilst Rs 276-4-0
instead of Rs 828-12-0 or 3
months' whilst-payable within
15 days after the sale was con-
firmed by the Deputy Commr.
Vide "Sale notice" para 3. This ^{rule} was
all the more imperative ^{to enforce} as
the term was given for 15
instead of for 12 months.

(2) The Collections effected to
3rd June 1994 aggregated only

only Rs 720-1-2 or about 2 months & 19 days dues. The Farmer must have therefore been a defaulter on 16th July/93, if not before that date, and as he failed to lodge the full amount of security, and declared he was not able even to give any personal security, the Farm should have, at least, at this stage, ~~have~~ been immediately sold as per para 3 of the "Sale notice".

(3) From the fact of some of the shopkeepers being indebted to the Farmer, it is inferred that these ^{shops} must have been sub-~~let~~ lot. If so it should be explained why the Shikhar-dars were not directly taken over during the 5 months and 19 days which elapsed between the 1st default and the sale of the Farm on 30th Aug/93, ^{and} ~~and~~ the Khich recovered from them or the Farmers looked through their ^{agency} ~~agency~~ in Amari?

(4) The period of 6 months between

July 2 June, is the best for
Toddy Sales And that the
^{at the re-sale by the 106/107}
Farm~~er~~ should have realized
^{by 12/106/107} less for the best half of the year,
is not satisfactory. Previous
Value (actual or approximate)
of the Farm in 1892-93 and
that realized for 1894-5
should have been recorded & must be
^{now furnished}

5) Govt have laid down
in the Toddy Rules & Cl 1:—

"That the Condition & Character
of intending bidders should be
enquired into" and it should

therefore be explained how a

man like the defaulter without
(as all his movable & immovable property was found afterwards to be in the hands of others)

any means whatever & who
declared his inability even
to give personal security, was

selected for so valuable Farm

which appears to ^{have} been specially
created

made in this instance,

though it was disposed of

piecemeal in 1892-93 without apparently
any difficulty

III. Conclusion (1) This is

evident from the foregoing

that the loss to Govt in this case

was clearly due to the

Salaction, without any enquiry
of a man of straw and
totally ignoring the Rules of
Govt in his favour from start
to finish.

(2) The delay of over $5\frac{1}{2}$ months
in taking action in such a
Very bad Case calls for ex-
-planation.

(3) The sale of the shop took
place on 3rd June/93, when
it was self-evident that the
farmer was literally a
pauper, and the Deputy
Comr's first intimation of
the case is dated 10th June/95,
after an ~~an~~ interval of 24 years.
This also calls for explanation.

This is not the only case of this
stamp, where Govt accounts
have been kept ^{unadjusted} ~~unclosed~~ long
after the year to which they
pertain ~~was closed~~ expired.

(4) I would respectfully
advocate sanction for
remission be withheld till
the lamentable failure on all
the foregoing points in the

Officers of the Airdar, Dy
Coun & Excise Coun is
fully and satisfactorily
explained. May orders be drafted accordingly. S

[Signature]

9/2/95 { Spl. App^r for
Doing Duty Sumari Office

Office note dated 21st October 95

Subject - Remission of Toddy Revenue
Rs 1508/2/4 recommended on behalf
of Sreenivasarao, a defaulting Toddy
Vend. - farmer No II Hannivala &
8 other shops - Chellakere Taluk -
for 1893-94 (15 months).

The particulars of this
case have been fully detailed in this
Office Note dated 9/2/95. -

2. The Councillor made the
following remarks:-

(a) Why such a ^{very} valuable farm as one
for Rs 4/43/12/- was given to such a
pauper? The Dy Commr. should have
satisfied himself of the solvency of
the purchaser before accepting the
bid.

(b) That the Amildar made little
endeavour to collect the Khists regularly
either from the Farmer, or his Shikmidar,
by the usual "tharadud".

(c) That explanation of the Amildar
& the Dy Commr. on (b) for the long
delay in asking for remission should be
obtained.

3 The Excise Commr. now sub-
mits the Dy Commr. ^{& Amildar} explanation.

4. The principal error arose,
at the outset, in clubbing the shops to form
a large Farm, instead of disposing of them
separately. It is on record the shops were
eventually sublet. If the Farmer co

Orders are solicited.

Signature

As by force, I

have already received

my views on the

Subject - The ritual

mistake was made

Very Respy & Oryfomer.

He would have done

Will not behave

accepted a bid from

& Nelson who was

not nearly solvent

but who depended

upon another for the

necessary funds & ^{not the}

keep friends
not dusted of

instead of
having almost hope
I appear

I must hope
allowing the arrears to
accumulate.

by the assets to
accumulate the Ambler
by cover 2/3

I by now would have
done well to

Done well & would have
the case ~~re~~ ^{re}

the case or saved the
farm as I

as soon as

They saw reason to
apprehend that
the def was not
likely to fulfil his
engagement. ~~However~~ ^{With}
these observations,
the remission recom-
-mended may be
fructified. It is
clear that all avail-
-able property has
been seized & sold
& that the assessors
no question are
wholly made overable.

Q

25-10-95

Permit the assessors entertaining
2. In Off. of. Rm. shd not have
further out to Franch to see the an
his absent person as the defaulter.

3. Further to defaulter shd. not have
been allowed to carry out the business
of the Franch without furnishing the
full securities.

4. In Off. Rm. shd. guard against such
mistakes & irregularities in future

26-10-95

W. A. C. 28-10-95

SECTION

S. R.

FILE NO.

35794 (From)

S. R. N. 1

1895

9082

18 JAN. 95

R2475

The Excise Commissioner
The Inspector-General of Forests
and Plantations in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated

14th

Despatched

18

Received

Enclosures No.

(34 file)

General No.

3069

No.

291

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Forwards copy of communication
from the deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug district together
with the Remission statement
therewith received regarding
an arrear of Rs 1508-2-1
due by defaulting Toddy
Vend Farmer No. 2 circle
Chellekere Taluk, and
requests that the said
amount may be ordered
to be written off the accounts.

References—(to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office).

Rev 1871/95

Rd

22/1

V

submitted for

28
7/2

No 3069 (Am 34/11) Bangalore, 17th January 1895
29/2
Excise Commissioner's Office
18

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, copy of docket N^o 194, dated $\frac{10}{13}$ th instant ~ from the Deputy Commissioner, Chital-droog District, together with the Remission statement and an explanatory Memo. ~ therewith received, regarding an arrear of Rs. 1508-2-1 due by B. Srinivasa Row, defaulting Taddy Veed Farmer of Circle N^o 2 in the Chellakere taluk for the year 1893-94, I have the honor to request that, under the circumstances explained by the Deputy Commissioner, the said amount may be ordered to be written off the accounts as being irrecoverable.

2. The vernacular file received from the Deputy Commissioner, is herewith sent for necessary reference and file.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M. S. H. S.

Excise Commissioner

M. S. in Mysore.

1894.

3

From.

The Deputy Commissioner
Chittaldroog District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Chittaldroog, dated $\frac{10}{13}$ January 1895.

Enclosures 2 & 3.

2 & 3 194.

Has the honor to submit a
file of vernacular papers relating
to an arrear of Rs 1508-2-1 due
by B. Sreenivasa Row, Toddy Vender
Farmer of Farm 2, Chellekere
Taluk for the year 1893-94. Those
papers with the memo attached
thereto will show that all
possible processes have been
exhausted and that it has
become necessary to recommend
the writing off of the said
arrear as altogether irrecoverable.

2. A remission Statement in
due form is accordingly submitted.

/Signed/ C. Sreenivasa Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner

"
Copy"

Shukets,
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

T. S.

Memo

Babbayang Greenivasarow was the contractor of toddy vend farm No. 2 consisting of Nanniwala and 8 other shops in the Chellakere taluk for the year 1893-94 (15 months).

The date of the original sale was 14/2/93. The monthly amount payable under such sale including local cess was Rs 260-4-0 + 16-4-0 = 276-4-0 or Rs 4143-12-0 for 15 months.

Greenivasarow managed the shops for 9 months and 3 days from 1st April 1893 to 3rd January 1894 (both days inclusive) and the khisto due by him for that period at Rs 276-4-0 per mensem aggregated Rs 2572-15-0. Deduct collections up to 3rd January 1894 as detailed below: } 720-1-2

Net arrears due by the defaulter Rs 1792-14-7.

The defaulter failed to furnish adequate security, the only security lodged by him being the deposit of one month's khist. The Amildar, however, allowed him to manage the farm and went on making demands for the remaining security which had to be furnished under the rules. In so doing he was prompted by a desire, first, to save the vend farmer from ruin.

and second, to protect the Government revenue, which ~~be~~ apprehended, might seriously suffer by a resale of the farm. Owing however to the heavy accumulation of arrears, it was at length deemed inexpedient to permit Secunivasa Raut to remain in possession of the farm any longer. The Amildar was accordingly directed to resell the farm at once. A resale was held on the 3rd January 1874 and there was a loss of Rs 17-0-0 + 1-1-0 = 18-1-0 per mensem. In other words Rs 243-0-0 + 15-3-0 = 258-3-0 was realized instead of Rs 276-4-0 which was the original contract amount. There was thus a loss of Rs 106-10-0 for 5 months and 28 days, which together with the arrears of previous months viz Rs 1792-14-7 amounted to Rs 1899-8-7. For the recovery of this sum, the defaulter's moveable and immovable properties were placed under attachment. The farmer was sold with the result that the proceeds amounted to the paltry sum of Rs 1-10-6 only. Owing to certain claims preferred in respect of the latter, the defaulter's right, title and interest only therein were sold, but the sale proved infructuous
9/11

for want of bidders. The defaulter's interest has thus been shown to have no saleable value. Further enquiries disclosed the fact that certain shop-keepers who had held their shops under the defaulter, were indebted to him in the sum of Rs 113-8- which was there-upon duly recovered and credited towards his account. The said deposit of Rs 276-4- was also credited towards the arrears, which were thus reduced to Rs 1508-2-1. The defaulter being a pauper and there being no means whatever of recovering this sum from him, a recommendation as regards the remission thereof with interest and notice fees, will be submitted.

Obeyesinghe

10th January 1895
Chittabong 3.

Deputy Commissioner
CB.

Statement showing the tally sheet in respect of the defaulters' Bastiary
 and the loss of the Challan for the year 1893-94.

Name of defaulters	Name of the defaulter	Amount of the original bid including cess	Amount of the bid at the resale including cess	Date of resale	Loss by resale up to the end of lease	Arrears due prior to the date of resale in- cluding cess	Total amount to be recovered from the defaulter including cess	Amount of de- posit and sale proceeds of lands &c credited towards arrears &c	Balance in- recoverable in- cluding cess	Remarks
No. 11 Lot of 1616 of 10	Rabbarjun Sreenivasa Row	4114312.	2583	3/1/94	10610.	1792447	189987	3916615582	15582	
No. 12		4114312.	2583		10610.	1792447	189987	3916615582	15582	

Commissioner's Office
 Public Works Division
 15/10/11/95

Deputy Commissioner
 B. S. Srinivasan

SECTION	S. R.
FILE NO.	35-(94-95)
SERIAL NO.	2

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Dy 2nd March 95.

no 13529
R 1957

Ev.

His letter no 3069 of 17th -
29/1/95 submitting for
sanction a remission
statement for Rs 1508-2-1
being the arrears of Paddy
Rent due from Kuntas-
how defaulting Vendor
Farmer of Kannitala
& other shops in the
Challakere Taluk

In reply has the honor
to observe as follows:—

It should be explained
why the farm of such a large
value was sold to such
a pauper. Under the

Rules, the Deputy Commr. had full discretion to accept a bid and he should have satisfied himself with the solvency of the purchaser before accepting his bid. It is most unsatisfactory that the Amildar of the Taluk should have dealt with the defaulter in such a loose manner in the face of clear and strict Rules.

The Amildar evidently made little endeavour to collect the Khists regularly either from the farmer or his Shikmidars by the usual "Tharadud". His explanation should also be submitted by the Dy Commr. The long delay of 2 years in submitting the remission statement should also be explained by the Dy Commr.

B O
2/3/95
Under Secy



Gen. 30.]

1895 12582

Q3389

SECTION	SR	From
FILE NO.	35-(94-35)	
SERIAL NO.	3	To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Under
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Dated 25th } Excise Department
Despatched } March 1895
Received }

Enclosure No.

General No. 4036

No. 377

In reply to off Chief Secretary
docket no 13529 dated 2nd inst
R. 1957 5
SUBJECT.

Requests that the
file of vernacular
papers may be returned
to enable the deputy
commissioner, Chitaldrug
district, to submit
the required explanation
regarding the arrears
due by defaulting Godda
Vend Farmer, Srinivasa
Row, Chellakere Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office.)

Rev 26/3

4/12

Excise Commissioner's Office,
No 4036 Bangalore, dated 25th March 1895
377
From.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
To

The Under Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Excise Department

Sir,

The offrs Chief Secretary's
docket No. 13529, dated 2nd inst.
R. 1957

I have the honor to request
that the file of vernacular
papers sent with my letter
No. 3069, dated 17th January
291 1895, may be kindly returned
as the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldroog District, has
asked for the same to enable
him

him to submit the required
explanations regarding the arrears
due by defaulting Goddy Vemb
Farmer Srinivasa Row, Chellekere
Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Thirupeths
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
h. n. s.

5

SECTION S R.
FILE NO. 35-94-95
SERIAL NO. 4

In case Court
in Mysore

Dy 5 April 195

no 16698
R 2344

En: V.P.


His letter no 4036 Dy 25-
377
all

As requested in the above,
has the honor to forward
herewith for reference & return
the file of Vernacular papers
in re arrears due from
defaulting Vard. Farmer
Srinivas Row of the Chella
Neri Taluk.

N.C.


5/6/95

Offg. Chief Sec.


J. H. J.
2/4/95

Gen. 30.

SECTION S. 12 From
FILE NO. 35-(34-95) The
SUBMITTED 5 To



Submitted for order

Under
The Chief Secretary to the Government
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 30th Excise Department.

Despatched

Received

37 } May 1895.
June

Enclosure No.

General No. 5156

No. 470

(2 & 2 files)

In reply to the Chief Secretary's
docket no: 13529, dated 2nd 1905
1905

SUBJECT.

Submits copy of
communication together
with its enclosures from the
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District, explaining
the points referred to in the above,
in connection with a
Remission Statement
on behalf of Srinivasarao,
a defaulting Toddy Vendor Farmer,
Bellakere taluk, for the
year 1893-94.

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office.)

Per Mr. (Secy)

Per Mr. (Secy)

Per Mr. (Secy)

Per Mr. (Secy)

Excise Commissioner's Office
No. $\frac{5156}{470}$ (2 & 2 files) Bangalore 30th May 1895.
3-6
From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Under Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Excise Department.

Sir,

In reply to the Chief Secretary's
docket No. $\frac{13529}{R. 1957}$, dated $\frac{2^{nd}}{5}$ ^{March '95} instant,
I have the honor to forward herewith
copies of docket No. 363, dated $\frac{24^{th}}{27}$ instant,
and the Memo thereto appended, to-
gether with the Vernacular file
of papers received therewith, from
the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug
District, explaining certain points
noticed by Government in connection
with a Remission Statement for
No 1508-2-1. Submitted on behalf
of Srinivas Rao, a defaulting
Toddy

Goddy Vend Farmer, Chellakere
taluk, for the year 1893-94. It
was contrary to the rules of
Government that the defaulter
should have been allowed to carry
on the business of the farm when
he had not furnished the full
Securities; but the omission in
appears to have taken place
under the peculiar circumstances
explained by the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. H. Pells
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.
W. H. Pells

1895.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated $\frac{24^{th}}{27}$ May 1895.

No. 363.

Enclos. one Memo & two Sernacular records.

His Official Memorandum No:
 $\frac{3751}{65}$, dated $\frac{6^{th}}{7}$ March 1895, calling
for a report on certain points noted
in the Chief Secretary's docket No.
 $\frac{13529}{R.1957}$, dated $\frac{2^{nd}}{5}$ March 1895 re the
remission statement submitted
on behalf of B. Shrinivasa Rao de-
-faulting Goddy Vend Farmer of
Hannivala and other shops in
the Chellakere Taluk.

With reference to the above, has
the honor to state that the farms
of

of the Chellakere Taluk were sold on the 9th February 1893 by Mr. Ramasawmy Iyengar, Extra Assistant Commissioner. As there were no proper bids for the 9 shops in question as well as for certain other farms, that officer postponed the sale to the 13th idem and directed that it be continued at Viriyur before the then Officiating Deputy Commissioner Mr. B. G. Krishna Iyengar. After some difficulty Mr. Krishna Iyengar who could not get better bidders thought it advisable to accept Srinivasa Rao's bids, for these 9 shops, on an assurance verbally given by one Venkata Rao (an Abkari Sub-Exaradar of long standing and experience) that he would himself help Srinivasa Rao in the management of business.

2. Srinivasa Rao managed to deposit a month's rental in cash, but found it difficult to produce personal

personal security for 3 months further rental. This was not the only instance in which it became necessary to show some indulgence in the matter of security and deposit. The system being a new one and a strict enforcement of the rules on the Subject being calculated to make the business unattractive, some consideration had to be shown to a large number of farmers including Srinivasarao. For fear of a resale proving very unfavorable Srinivasarao had to be given several extensions of time to enable him to produce the required security. In the meantime Venkat Rao, on whose advice and assistance he mainly depended unfortunately died. Srinivasarao not only worked the shops at a loss but was also wholly unable to meet the demand for the production of security. Seeing that all his attempts

had

had failed, the Amildar resold the Farms on the 3rd January 1894 to the best possible advantage. His explanation with its accompaniments is herewith forwarded for the perusal of Government.

3. The resale was confirmed on the 14th February 1894 and the remission statement was submitted by this Office on the 10th January 1895. This delay of nearly 11 months will be found explained in the memo herewith attached which shows the several steps taken from time to time for the realization of as much of the arrears as could be recovered.

4. The Vernacular record is received back with the Memorandum under reply is herewith resubmitted.

(sd) C. Sreenivasa dyngar
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug Distt.

"Copy"

W. H. Kettles
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
M. S.

Memo.

Date of Resale 3rd January 1894.

Confirmation of Ditto. 14th February 1894.

Istihars or proclamations
of sale of moveable and
immovable properties } 15th — do —
issued on

Moveables sold ——— 26th March 1894.

Claims preferred to
immovable property. } March & April /94

Investigation held — April & May 1894.

Order for sale subject
to lien. } 2nd June 1894.

Sale held accordingly on 15th & 16th
July /94, but there were no bidders
the property being heavily encumbered.

Moneys due to defaulter
by his Shikmidars were
ordered to be attached in } May 1894

Attached accordingly } June 1894.
by Service of notice . . .

Sales ordered July 1894.

Recovered August 1894.

Further steps having proved
futile a remission statement
was received from the Amildar
on ————— } 12th November/94.

Remission statement submit-
-ted from this office on — } 10th January 1895

(sd) C. Sreenivasa Aiyengar
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

"Copy"

Shukla
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

M. S.

SECTION S. 12
 FROM 35-94-85
 TO 6

Correspondence ending
 with the Excise Commissioner's
 Letter No 5156 of 30-5 3-6-95, re-
470
 commending a remission of
 Rs 1508-2-1 in favour of one
 Sreenivasarao, Toddy
 Vendor - Farmer of Hanarivale
 & 8 other shops in the Chellakur
 Taluk of the Chitaldroog
 District for the year 93-94 (15 months)

Order No. 4317-18 of 24-11-95
M: 128 6

The Cddy Deputy
 Commissioner would have
 done well not to have
 accepted a bid from a
 person who was not himself
 solvent but who depended
 upon another for necessary
 funds & help. Instead of
 hoping against hope & allowing
 the arrears to accumulate,
 the Amildar & Deputy Commr
 would have done well to have
 cancelled the leased resold
 the

the farm as soon as they saw reason to apprehend that the lessee was not likely to fulfil his engagements.

~~2. The Dy Commr should not have given out the farm to such an incompetent person as the defaulter.~~

2. Further, the defaulter should not have been allowed to carry out the business of the farm without furnishing ^{all year} the full securities.


3. The Dy Commr should guard against such mistakes & irregularities in future.

4. With the foregoing observations Govt. are pleased to sanction the remission ^{recommended} of Rs 1508/2/1, with interest to date, in favour of the defaulter. ^{to direct that the sum of}
~~Remission Rs 2 to the amount being written off the accounts.~~

4-11-95
Rev. Secretary.

J. H. P. To the Encise Comm. in Mysore
S. H. P. " Comptroller, Mysore Govt.
8/10

SECTION 5. R Gen. 31.]
 FILE NO. 35-(94-85)
 7 From the Commissioner



Per 4/12/95


11/12/95

Submitted with Prop quoted -
 12/12/95 - May we send the
 file to the 4: / ind.
 who will read it for
 reference & so save
 time & wait his call
 therefor?
 12/12/95 - S. R. F.

yes 4

18-12-95

To The Excise Commissioner
 in Mysore.



Dated 19th

Despatched 11 December 1895

Received

General No. 24102
 No. R-45

Enclosure No. One Statement

With reference to
 Government Proceedings
 No. 4317-8 dated
 12th Nov 1895
 sanctioning on his
 recommendation
 a remission of
 Rs 1508-2-1 in favour
 of one Sreenivasa
 Rao Laddu Vnd
 Farmer of Nannivala
 and 8 other shops
 in the Chellukere
 Taluk of the
 Chital droog district
 being

being arrears due on account of
Khist and Cess for the year 1893-94,
has the honour to state that the
arrears due by the aforesaid
Farmer amount to Rs 1568.9.7
as arrived at in the ledger of
this office an extract of which
is herein enclosed for his information
and to request that he will be so
good as to verify the balance
again in communication with
the Deputy Commissioner
Chilaldroog District and to
intimate the result thereof
to the undersigned at an early date.

No. 241-2
R.E.C.

Signed E.R. Subrayer
Comptroller


Copy forwarded to the Revenue
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
for information.

E. R. Subrayer

Comptroller
RE

~~submitted~~
Submitted with the ~~reference~~
10/1/96 ~~quoted~~ draft
attending the records
in each case -
Separately to
obtain the ~~comparative~~
abstracts - 10/6

Exise.]



From The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

SECTION	10-1 S.R.
FILE NO.	35-64-95
SUBJECT	8

Revenue
The Secy to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dept.

Dated 19th December 1895

Despatched 22

Received

General No. 2675

No. 254

Enclosure No.

In reply to

SUBJECT

Requests that the vernacular
records submitted with the
marginally noted references,
may be returned, as the Deputy
Commissioner, Chitaldroog
District, has asked for them

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office.)

Per - *[Signature]*
20/12/95

Excise Commissioner's Office
Dated Bangalore, 19th December 1895
22

No 2675

To
From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To.

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that

- | |
|---|
| 1. Letter No $\frac{3068}{290}$, dated 17 th January 1895 |
| 2. Letter No $\frac{41485}{430}$, dated 26 th April 1895 |
| 3. Letter No $\frac{5156}{470}$, dated 30 th May 1895 |
| 4. Letter No $\frac{688}{66}$, dated 8 th August 1895 |

the vernacular records
submitted with the
marginally noted
references, may be
returned, as the

Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldroog District, has
asked for them.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

Thos. Keith

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

SECTION	S R.
FILE NO.	35 (From 84-95)
SER. NO.	9

To The Excise Commissioner
for Mysore

Dated 23-12-95

Despatched 7-1

Received

General No. 5-495-
34 No. 186

Enclosure No. 2 files

Comptroller's St. No. 241-2
45
of 19th Instant to the
address of the Excise
Commissioner pointing out a
difference of Rs 60/7/6
which remains still
in arrears, as due by
the Toddy Vender Talwar
Dewanur of Navi:
Murala & 8 other shop
keepers Talwar &
requesting verification
of the balance

Referring to the
above as the amount
to

to forward the records
connected with the case
received with the 4:1 unit.
No 5156/470 of 30th Aug 95
for reference & return of
with ~~the report~~ an explanation
for the difference noticed
by the Comptroller. -

B. G

Q. 20/12/95

Rev- Secy

S. A. J.
20/12

4 drafts

Gen. 31.]		189
SECTION	SR.	
FILE NO.	35-84-55	
From		
SERIAL NO.	10	

To

The Suesse firm in Mysore

Dated

13/1/96

Despatched

Received

General No.

6286

No. En. 196

Enclosure No.

His letter No: $\frac{2675}{254}$ dt 19/12

95- request, the return of the
vernacular file regarding the re-
-mission case of Sriviratakar,
submitter with No: $\frac{5756}{470}$ dt
30/5/95-


Has the honour to state
already been
that the above was forwarded
returned
with this office No: $\frac{5795}{En 186}$ dt 23/12
95.

By Order
13-1-96
Revenue Secretary.

Submitted with reference
2/2/96

Exise.]

(13)



SECTION—	S. R.	From	The Exise Commissioner
FILED—	35-(84-95)		in Mysore
SERIAL No. 11		To	Revenue
			The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dept.

Dated 3rd February 1896.
Despatched 4th

Received

General No. 3079

No. 296

Enclosure No. 1.

In reply to No. 5795, dated
23rd December 1895-
7-1-96. SUBJECT

Forwards copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldroog District, explaining the difference of Rs. 60-7-6, pointed out by the Comptroller in the Remission Statement of Srinivas Rao, Toddy defaulter, Chellakere Taluk, for 1893-94.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

See Comptroller's

Exise Dept letter No. 331-32 of
4/4/96 R.E. 13
File.

7/2/96

7/2/96

Hob
8/2/96

Excise Commissioners Office
Dated Bangalore, 3rd February 1896
4

No 3079 Encl.
296

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Revenue Secretary to the Government
of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket-No. 5795
dated 23rd December 1895 requesting explanation
7-1.96 for the difference of Rs 60-7-6 pointed out
by the Comptroller in his docket-No. 241-2
of the 9th Idem, I have the honor to forward
45- herewith for your information copy of -
docket-No. 240, dated 29th Instant,
from the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug
District, explaining the above difference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant-

M. H. S.

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

H.

1896.

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Chitaldrug District

To

The Excise Commissioner, in Mysore

Dated 29th January 1896.

No 240 Enclosure 6.

His No 2554⁵⁹ dated 14-12-95 requesting to
exclaim the difference of Rs 60-7-6 against
the arrear due by B. Srinivasa Row Toddy
defaulter Challekere Talug for the year
1893-94.

With reference to the above has the honor
to state that the original amount of bid
for the Kannivala Farm was Rs 4143-12-0
and the amount recovered from the farmer
was Rs 1111-7-8. The amount realized by
the resale of the farm in question was Rs
1524-2-3. The balance of Rs 1508-2-1
shown as an arrear due by the farmer
was therefore recommended to be remitted.

Ruppes

Rupees 60-7-6 was recovered from Jagiah
one of the Farmers in the resale and credited
in the Challekere Taluk Treasury on the 8th
April 1895. This sum is not shown in
the Comptroller's Statement in which
collections only up to the 11th August 1894
have been entered. Hence the apparent
difference. Challekere Taluk Amildars
report No 150 dated 24th Instant together
with its enclosures bearing on the Subject
is herein enclosed for perusal.

(Signed) H. Ramanuja Iyengar
For Ag: Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog.

"Copy"

M. H. H.
Excise Commissioner

H.
/

Excise Branch.

Gen. 31.]

7/2

7/2/96

File

(21) H.V.N.

8/2/96

SECTION

S.R.

F


35-64-95)

From

SER

12

The Comptroller



To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 6th

Despatched

Received

} February

General No. 331-32

No. R.L. 60

Enclosure No.

With reference to Excise
Commissioner's No. 3080
647
dated 3rd February 1896
forwarding Copy of his
letter No. 3079
296
3rd February 1896 together
with copy of its enclosure
in re, the difference of
Rs: 60-7-6 between the
remission sanctioned
in Government Proceed
ings No. 4317-8
Ex: 128

dated 4th November 1895 on behalf of
Srinivasa Rao Toddy Veed farmer in the
Chelakere Taluk and the Statement of collection
as prepared in this Office, has the honor
to state that in the Statement prepared in
this Office No: 60-7-6 collected towards the
Account of Srinivasa Rao was omitted
to be shewn as the Chalam did not
specify the exact names of the farm
and farmer but different ones.

In future to avoid such mistakes the
Excise Commissioner will be good enough
to instruct the Deputy Commissioners to issue
necessary instructions to the Taluks, so
that, when preparing Chalam on account
of farms resold, the names of the farm and
the farmer as they stood before the resale
may also be noted for the sake of easy
reference in this Office

(Signed) E. R. Subbrayan
Comptroller

No: 331-32
R.E. 13

Copy forwarded to the Revenue
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
with reference to this office No: 241-2
R.E. 8
dated 9th December 1895.

J. H. H.
9/12/95

E. R. Subbrayan

Comptroller